Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

December 19, 2011

Mary L. Schapiro Chairman, Security and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549

Dear Chairman Schapiro,

On December 20, 2010 the Securities and Exchange Commission released proposed rules (SEC File Number S7-45-10) to implement provisions of Section 975 of the Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act related to registration of municipal advisors. We believe that the Commission's proposed rules go far beyond the statute's intent and scope by capturing, in the "municipal advisor" definition, parties and activities that were not anticipated by Congress or authorized by the statute. As proposed, the rules would impose wholly unnecessary and duplicative layers of regulation on parties that are already heavily regulated and would impose undue burdens and costs for many market participants. Because the proposal would likely drive some parties out of the municipal market or limit the services they provide to states and localities, it would actually be harmful to state and local governments by reducing competition.

In enacting the Dodd-Frank Act's municipal advisor provisions, congressional focus was intentionally narrow — to bring under the regulatory umbrella of the Commission and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) those parties who provide advice to municipal entities regarding, among other things, securities issuance and the investment of the proceeds of municipal securities and who also were not already regulated by a federal or state regulatory body. Specifically, Congress intended Section 975 to apply to parties known in the municipal securities market as independent financial advisors, swap advisors, GIC brokers, and other parties who were not already regulated by the Commission, the MSRB, federal or state bank regulators, state insurance regulators, or other established regulatory bodies. Congress did not intend to impose additional layers of regulation on parties that are already well regulated.

However, the Commission's proposed municipal advisor rules go much farther than that. Those rules would impose duplicative and unnecessary layers of regulation on already heavily regulated parties by capturing many entities not intended or authorized by the statute and would apply to services beyond those that involve issuing municipal securities and investing the resulting proceeds. In many cases, these improperly captured groups include brokers, dealers, their registered representatives, municipal securities dealers and their associates, banks and their employees, insurance companies, accounting firms, investment advisers, investment adviser representatives, appointed volunteer public servants, and others. As you yourself recognized in recent testimony before the House Financial Services Committee, the proposed municipal advisor rules are "inappropriately wide" and apply to "persons who probably we ought not include."

We strongly believe the Commission should promptly scale back the scope and application of the proposed rules to define the term "municipal advisor" as Congress intended. Accordingly, we believe that the Commission's final rules should exempt from the "municipal advisor" definition those parties who are already regulated by the Commission, the MSRB, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, federal or state bank regulators, state insurance regulators, or other established regulatory bodies, as well as elected or appointed members of the governing bodies of municipal entities.

Sincerely, Scott Garrett Member of Congress Member of Congress Judy Biggert Gary G. Miller Member of Congress Member of Congress Kevin Yoder Randy Neugebauer Member of Congress Member of Congress Benjamin Quayle Mike Quigley Member of Ongres Member of Congress tenry Cuellar James A. Himes Member of Congress Member of Congress im Matheson Gary C. Peters Member of Congress Member of Congress Adam Kinzinger Bill Posey Member of Congress Member of Congress Nan A. S. Mayworth, M.D. Bill Huizenga Member of Congress Member of Congress Steve Stivers Blaine Luetkemeyer Member of Congress Member of Congress

| 1 | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 11 10000 110 | 100 0 111 |
| Clare It I have the | Campbell |
| Donald A. Manzyllo | John Campbell |
| Member of Corgress | Member of Congress |
| | |
| (Olva 4 Keller) | |
| Reid J. Ribble | Christopher P. Gibson |
| Member of Congress | Member of Congress |
| The labeller of | Dan Clenker |
| Tim Walberg | Lynn Jenkins |
| Member of Congress | Member of Congress |
| 1. 11. 10. | 11-15-1-1 |
| 1 in Hudskamp | free Value |
| Tim Huelskamp U Member of Congress | David Schweikert Member of Congress |
| Nemoci of congress | y Y |
| (ells) ory | Leonard Lance |
| Billy Long | Leonard Lance |
| Member of Congress | Member of Gongress |
| Caul Brun | TOKET, US |
| Paul C. Broun, M.D. | Ed Perlmutter |
| Member of Congress | Member of Congress |
| Can till a | 0200 |
| Town I amb Count | Dud Chaman |
| James Lankford Member of Congress | Brad Sherman Member of Congress |
| (Viculoci of Congress | Wellioer of Congress |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |